



Comanche I.S.D.

2016-2017



**District Rating
Superior**



Disclosures

Reporting requirements for the financial management report for Schools FIRST public hearing are found in **Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing, Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System**. This rule describes requirements for the five (5) disclosures explained below that are to be presented as appendices in the Schools FIRST financial management report.

1. Superintendent's Employment Contract

The school district is to provide a copy of the superintendent's employment contract that is effective on the date of the Schools FIRST hearing in calendar year 2017. In lieu of publication in the Schools FIRST financial management report, the school district may choose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site. **If published on the Internet**, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

<http://www.comancheisd.net/upload/page/0144/docs/Superintendent's%20Contract%202015.pdf>

*Board Members:

#1-Jason Pate; #2-Neil Dudley; #3-Kay Hagood; #4-Rachel Hilliard; #5-Harold Higginbotham; #6-Sheila Stephens #7-Rick Taylor
Superintendent – Gary Speegle



Disclosures

2. Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for School Year 2016-2017

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Description of Reimbursement	Supt.	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Meals	417.56	329.34	132.69	132.69	24.69	29.69	344.34	221.34
Lodging	3,526.25	1,357.47	320.58	259.16	0	0	1,268.11	698.49
Mileage	0	203.30	119.84	119.84	0	0	203.30	0
Motor Fuel								
Other-Workshops	1,380.00	385.00	385.00	425.00	0	0	425.00	385.00
Other-Dues	440.00							
Other-Shirts	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00
Total	\$5,818.81	\$2,330.11	\$1,013.11	\$991.69	\$79.69	\$84.69	\$2,295.75	\$1,359.83

Note – The spirit of the rule is to capture all “reimbursements” for school year 2016-2017, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order. Reimbursements to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed off of the school district’s premises, and in-district meals at area restaurants (excludes catered meals for board meetings).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursement (or on-behalf) to the superintendent and board member.

*Board Members:

#1-Jason Pate; #2-Neil Dudley; #3-Kay Hagood; #4-Rachel Hilliard; #5-Harold Higginbotham; #6-Sheila Stephens #7-Rick Taylor
 Superintendent - Gary Speegle



Disclosures

3. Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services in School Year 2016-2017

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Name(s) of Entity(ies)	Amount
	\$
Total	\$

Note – Compensation does not include business revenues from the superintendent’s livestock or agricultural-based activities on a ranch or farm. Report gross amount received (do not deduct business expenses from gross revenues). Revenues generated from a family business that have no relationship to school district business are not to be disclosed.

*Board Members:
 #1-Jason Pate; #2-Neil Dudley; #3-Kay Hagood; #4-Rachel Hilliard; #5-Harold Higginbotham; #6-Sheila Stephens #7-Rick Taylor
 Superintendent – Gary Speegle



Disclosures

4. Gifts Received by the Executive Officer(s) and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) in School Year 2016-2017 For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

	Supt. 1	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Summary Amounts	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Note – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under the applicable school official.

*Board Members:
#1-Jason Pate; #2-Neil Dudley; #3-Kay Hagood; #4-Rachel Hilliard; #5-Harold Higginbotham; #6-Sheila Stephens #7-Rick Taylor
Superintendent -- Gary Speegle



Disclosures

5. Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2016-2017

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Summary Amounts	\$25,160.45	\$506.28	\$	\$	\$	\$11,191.00	\$

Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items reported in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

*Board Members:

#1-Jason Pate; #2-Neil Dudley; #3-Kay Hagood; #4-Rachel Hilliard; #5-Harold Higginbotham; #6-Sheila Stephens #7-Rick Taylor
 Superintendent - Gary Speegle

User: Mary Herring
User Role: District

RATING YEAR DISTRICT NUMBER



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: COMANCHE ISD(047901)	Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM
Rating: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM
District Score: 100	Passing Score: 60

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:05 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:05 AM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:06 AM	Yes
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:06 AM	Yes

4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:06 AM	Yes
5	<u>Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:06 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:06 AM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:07 AM	10
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:07 AM	10
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:07 AM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:07 AM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:08 AM	10
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	6/19/2018 11:06:38 AM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:08 AM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:08 AM	10

15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	4/20/2018 8:22:09 AM	10
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.		
B.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	90-100	
	B = Above Standard	80-89	
	C = Meets Standard	60-79	
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60	
No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.			

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734